

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/781,200	02/13/2001	John D. Winter	06950.0167.DVUS02	9100	
	7:	590 06/04/2003		·		
	Andrea G. Re	ister		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Howrey Simon Arnold & White, LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.				POPOVICS,	ROBERT J	
Box No. 34		C 20004 2402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Washington, DC 20004-2402			1724	124	
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	£ 1			
	09/781,200	Winter	et al.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner 7	Group Art Unit				
	1 topou	Applicant(s) Winter Group Art Unit 1724				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence ad	ldress—			
Period for Reply	-pl					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result in NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	eply within the statutory minit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to illing date of this communica	imum of thirty (30) days will be consion the mailing date of this communic b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. ; 133).			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL.	03		·			
☐ This action is FINAL.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193. 	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is c	e losed in			
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) 122 - 146 Of the above claim(s)		is/are pending in the app	olication.			
		is/are withdrawn from co	ensideration.			
□ Claim(s) /22 - 146		is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) 122 172		is/are rejected.				
☐ Claim(s)		are subjected to.	or election			
□ Claim(s)		requirement				
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	a)–(d).				
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:						
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Application	No				
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer						
in this national stage application from the Internation						
*Certified copies not received:			<u> </u>			
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	- ()	Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Appli				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48 \Box	Other				
Office	Action Summary					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00) Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 122-146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A step of "dewatering" is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 122-146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 122-146, it is unclear how, or at what point the slag becomes "dewatered."
- 5. Claims 122-146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: a "dewatering" step.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Popovics at telephone number (703) 308-0684.

RJP

June 2, 2003

ROBERT J. POPOVICS PRIMARY EXAMINER